



"Gheorghe Asachi" Technical University of Iasi, Romania



P63

## COMPARISON OF BIOHYDROGEN PRODUCTION IN FLUIDIZED BED BIOREACTOR AT ROOM TEMPERATURE AND 35°C

K.M. Muñoz-Páez<sup>1</sup>, J. García-Mena<sup>2</sup>, M.T. Ponce-Noyola<sup>3</sup>, A. Ramos-Valdivia<sup>3</sup>,  
I.V. Robles González<sup>4</sup>, N. Ruiz-Ordáz<sup>5</sup>, L. Villa-Tanaca<sup>5</sup>, N. Rinderknecht-Seijas<sup>6</sup>,  
R. De Philippis<sup>7</sup>, H.M. Poggi-Varaldo<sup>\*1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Environmental Biotechnology and Renewable Energies R&D Group, Dept. Biotechnology and Bioengineering. CINVESTAV-IPN. P.O. Box 14-740, México D.F.; <sup>2</sup>Dept. Genetic and Molecular Biology, *ibidem*; <sup>3</sup>Dept. Biotechnology and Bioengineering, *ibidem*; <sup>4</sup>NOVA Universitas. Oax., México; <sup>5</sup>ENCB-IPN. México, D.F.; <sup>6</sup>ESIQIE-IPN, México D.F., Mexico; <sup>7</sup>Department of Agricultural Biotechnology. University of Florence. Firenze, Italy; \*e-mail: hectorpoggi2001@gmail.com

### Abstract

In the last 10 years, interest on biohydrogen has increased exponentially. Recent studies have found that favorable immobilized-cell anaerobic hydrogen production systems include anaerobic fluidized bed reactors. Even though AFBR exhibits positive features for the production of biogases such as H<sub>2</sub> it has been used primarily for the treatment of wastewater. To our knowledge, there are no studies for H<sub>2</sub> production by AFBR at low temperatures. Therefore, this work was aimed to evaluate the H<sub>2</sub> production in an anaerobic fluidized bed reactor using two incubation temperatures: room temperature and 35 °C.

The laboratory-scale AFBRs consisted of glass columns loaded with 1 L of granular activated carbon (1-2 mm diameter) colonized by an anaerobic consortium (with heat-shock pretreatment, 90°C). Sucrose (8 g/L) was used as substrate without addition of buffer solution. The hydraulic residence time was 1 day; the incubation temperature was 35°C (AFBR-M) and room temperature (AFBR-R).

The average H<sub>2</sub> concentration in the biogas in AFBR-R was 1.8 times superior than AFBR-M (54% and 31% respectively). pH ranged from 4.85 to 4.0 despite no buffer solution was added. It was observed CH<sub>4</sub> in the biogas of AFBR-R until the pH dropped down to 4.5. The H<sub>2</sub> productivity in AFBR-R was 2.3 times superior than in AFBR-M (1332.9 and 577.2 mLH<sub>2</sub>/L<sub>bed</sub> day, respectively). Acetic acid (HAc), Butyric acid (HBu), Propionic acid (HPr), Ethanol (EtOH) and Butanol were the soluble microbial products (SMP) detected in this fermentation. The volatile fatty acid (VFA) contributed to most of the SMP at both temperatures with ratios VFA/SP<sub>AFBR-A</sub> of 26.3 and VFA/SP<sub>AFBR-M</sub> 4.2 (VFA/SP: volatile fatty acid/solvents products).

Our results agree with those found by Gadhamshetty et al. (2009) in batch reactors operated at 22°C and 37°C in a CSTR reactor, they observed that the H<sub>2</sub> production was 1.3 times superior in a reactor operated at lower temperatures (22°C). This result could be attributed to the gradual changes in pH induced by slower kinetics at lower temperature. In conclusion the H<sub>2</sub> production in an AFBR at room temperature showed encouraging results for H<sub>2</sub> production in submerged fermentation of soluble substrates.